

## DIRECTED RISK RESEARCH PROBLEM STATEMENT

<b>Risk Theme</b>	Credit Risk	<b>Problem Nr.</b>	PS16011
<b>Client Name</b>	Morné Joubert	<b>Client Org.</b>	BAG
<b>Designation</b>	Retail Credit, IFRS 9 and Basel modelling Manager		
<b>E-mail</b>	<a href="mailto:Morne.Joubert@absa.co.za">Morne.Joubert@absa.co.za</a>	<b>Tel (w)</b>	0118462292
		<b>Mobile</b>	083 704 1720

**PROJECT TITLE:** Margin of Conservatism for retail credit risk.

**PROJECT GOAL:**

Investigate how the margin of conservatism should be determined for retail credit risk models

**HIGH LEVEL DESCRIPTION OF PROBLEM**

The following is stated in Basel 2.5 (June 2006):

*“451. In general, estimates of PDs, LGDs, and EADs are likely to involve unpredictable errors. In order to avoid over-optimism, a bank must add to its estimates a margin of conservatism that is related to the likely range of errors. Where methods and data are less satisfactory and the likely range of errors is larger, the margin of conservatism must be larger. Supervisors may allow some flexibility in application of the required standards for data that are collected prior to the date of implementation of this Framework. However, in such cases banks must demonstrate to their supervisors that appropriate adjustments have been made to achieve broad equivalence to the data without such flexibility. Data collected beyond the date of implementation must conform to the minimum standards unless otherwise stated”*

*“462. Banks may have a primary technique and use others as a point of comparison and potential adjustment. Supervisors will not be satisfied by mechanical application of a technique without supporting analysis. Banks must recognise the importance of judgmental considerations in combining results of techniques and in making adjustments for limitations of techniques and information.*

- *A bank may use data on internal default experience for the estimation of PD.*
- *A bank must demonstrate in its analysis that the estimates are reflective of underwriting standards and of any differences in the rating system that generated the data and the current rating system. Where only limited data are available, or where underwriting standards or rating systems have changed, the bank must add a greater margin of conservatism in its estimate of PD. The use of pooled data across institutions may also be recognised. A bank must demonstrate that the internal rating systems and criteria of other banks in the pool are comparable with its own.*
- *Banks may associate or map their internal grades to the scale used by an external credit assessment institution or similar institution and then attribute the default rate observed for the external institution's grades to the bank's grades. Mappings must be based on a comparison of internal rating criteria to the criteria used by the external institution and on a comparison of the internal and external ratings of any common borrowers. Biases or inconsistencies in the mapping approach or underlying data must be avoided. The external institution's criteria*

*underlying the data used for quantification must be oriented to the risk of the borrower and not reflect transaction characteristics. The bank's analysis must include a comparison of the default definitions used, subject to the requirements in paragraph 452 to 457. The bank must document the basis for the mapping.*

- *A bank is allowed to use a simple average of default-probability estimates for individual borrowers in a given grade, where such estimates are drawn from statistical default prediction models. The bank's use of default probability models for this purpose must meet the standards specified in paragraph 417.”*

*“475. Advanced approach banks must assign an estimate of EAD for each facility. It must be an estimate of the long-run default-weighted average EAD for similar facilities and borrowers over a sufficiently long period of time, but with a margin of conservatism appropriate to the likely range of errors in the estimate. If a positive correlation can reasonably be expected between the default frequency and the magnitude of EAD, the EAD estimate must incorporate a larger margin of conservatism. Moreover, for exposures for which EAD estimates are volatile over the economic cycle, the bank must use EAD estimates that are appropriate for an economic downturn, if these are more conservative than the longrun average. For banks that have been able to develop their own EAD models, this could be achieved by considering the cyclical nature, if any, of the drivers of such models. Other banks may have sufficient internal data to examine the impact of previous recession(s). However, some banks may only have the option of making conservative use of external data.”*

## **PROJECT OBJECTIVES**

Establish a methodology to determine the margin of conservatism for PD, LGD and EAD in a retail credit framework.

## **OUTPUTS REQUIRED**

A document describing a synopsis of relevant literature on the topic, and containing a proposed methodology for determining the margin of conservatism.

## **STRATEGIC VALUE TO DIRECTED RISK RESEARCH**

The research will possible sensitise bank practitioners and regulators about the issues involved and clear up the confusion around the MoC concept.